

L. LEE PHILLIPS (SBN: 35694)
lphillips@manatt.com
 JOHN M. GATTI (SBN: 138492)
jgatti@manatt.com
 ERIC CUSTER (SBN: 166533)
ecuster@manatt.com
 Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
 11355 West Olympic Boulevard
 Los Angeles, California 90064-1614
 Telephone: (310) 312-4000
 Facsimile: (310) 312-4224

Mark S. Lee (SBN 94103)
Mark.lee@rimonlaw.com
 RIMON P.C.
 2029 Century Park East, Suite 400N
 Los Angeles, California 90067
 Telephone: (310) 361-5776

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stephen Perry

Brent H. Blakely (SBN 157292)
bblakely@blakelylawgroup.com
 Jessica C. Covington (SBN 301816)
jcovington@blakelylawgroup.com
 BLAKELY LAW GROUP
 1334 Parkview Avenue, Suite 280
 Manhattan Beach, California 90266
 Telephone: (310) 546-7400
 Facsimile: (310) 546-7401

Attorneys for Defendant
Phil Brown

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHEN PERRY, an individual,

 Plaintiff,

vs.

PHIL BROWN, an individual,

 Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:18-cv-09543 JFW (SSX)

**JOINT REPORT OF PARTIES'
 RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE**

Scheduling Conference:

Date: January 7, 2019
 Time: 1:15 p.m.
 Courtroom: 7A, the Courtroom of the
 Honorable Juhn F. Walter.

Counsel for Plaintiff Stephen Perry (“Perry”) and Defendant Phil Brown (“Brown”) hereby submit this Joint Report and propose the following Report of Parties’ Planning Meeting. The positions set forth in this report are based on counsels’ current understanding of the legal and factual issues involved in the case. The Parties acknowledge that as discovery proceeds and the case progresses, the beliefs and views set forth herein may change or evolve.

A. SUBJECT MATTER, PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims alleged in this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1338, and 2201, as well as supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

Perry believes that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Brown for the reasons stated in the First Amended Complaint. Brown, a resident of Tennessee, does not believe that this court has personal jurisdiction over him.

B. STATEMENT OF CASE AND FACTUAL SUMMARY

Perry filed the present action against Defendant on November 9, 2018, and filed a First Amended Complaint on November 13, 2018 alleging trademark infringement, false designation of origin under the Lanham Act, and violation of the common law and statutory right of publicity in connection with Defendant’s use of Perry’s name and likeness to promote Defendant’s music, as well as declaratory relief re copyright ownership of Perry’s ’s recorded vocal performances . (ECF No. 6)

Defendant’s response to the Complaint is due on or before January 28, 2019. (ECF No. 26)

C. DISPUTED POINTS OF LAW

- (1) Whether this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Brown.
- (2) Whether Perry has protectable and enforceable copyrights in his recorded vocal performances, and what those rights are.

(3) Whether Defendant is liable for trademark infringement and false designation of origin.

(4) Whether Defendant is liable for breach of Perry's common law and statutory right of publicity.

(5) Whether Perry or Brown on the copyrights in Perry's recorded vocal performances made at Brown's residence in the early 1990s;

(6) Whether Defendant's conduct is privileged and therefore not actionable;

(7) Whether Brown is liable to Perry for damages for his conduct; and

(8) In the event liability is found, the type and amount of damages awardable to Perry on the claims alleged.

D. PRIOR, PENDING, OR ANTICIPATED MOTIONS

On November 13, 2018 Perry filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order. (ECF No. 11.) There is a hearing on Perry's request for a preliminary injunction set for March 4, 2019 at 1:30 p.m.

Perry currently anticipates filing a motion for summary judgment.

Defendant Brown currently anticipates filing some or all of the following motions:

(1) Motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction;

(2) Motion to Strike pursuant to California's anti-SLAPP statute;

(3) Motion for Summary Judgment

E. THE EXTENT CLAIMS WILL BE ADDED AND DISMISSED

F. The parties agree that any amendment to the First Amended Complaint shall be made on or before April 1, 2019.

G. COMPLIANCE WITH INITIAL DISCLOSURES

The parties will exchange initial disclosures in compliance with FRCP 26.

H. DISCOVERY

There has been no discovery taken to date. In the event Brown files an anti-SLAPP motion, while not mandatory in Federal Court, Brown believes that discovery

1 should be stayed pending resolution of such a motion. Furthermore, Brown believes
2 that this Court's determination of an anti-SLAPP motion is subject to immediate
3 appellate review.

4 The Parties agree to conduct discovery, including the taking of depositions and
5 the propounding of interrogatories, requests for admission and document requests, in
6 accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules, without
7 modification thereto. However, nothing in this stipulation shall impair the right of any
8 party to seek departures from the Federal Rules and/or Local Rules upon a showing of
9 good cause.

10 The Parties will work together to minimize discovery disputes. In addition, the
11 Parties have agreed to service via email.

12 **1. Initial Disclosures**

13 The Parties will make their Initial Disclosures no later than January 14, 2019..

14 **2. Depositions**

15 At this early juncture, the Parties do not have enough information to determine
16 which depositions they will take other than each party and each party's respective
17 person(s) most knowledgeable. The parties have not yet scheduled dates for these
18 depositions.

19 **3. Written Discovery**

20 The Parties anticipate issuing formal discovery requests through the use of
21 Requests for Admission, Requests for Production, as well as Special Interrogatories.

22 **4. Privilege or Protection of Sensitive Information**

23 The Parties may enter into a suitable protective order for the production of
24 information and/or documents containing confidential, proprietary, or private personal
25 information.

26 **5. Electronically-Stored Information**

27 All electronically-stored information that is responsive to a discovery request, or
28 that must be produced with a party's initial disclosures, must be produced in paper

format or Portable Document Format (PDF). Each party may request electronic copies of any paper documents produced. Insofar as is possible with regard to the format in which electronic documents are provided, no information shall be omitted from a document as a result of the form in which the document is produced. Such discoverable information contained electronically shall not be destroyed, deleted, or otherwise discarded.

6. Discovery cut-off

The Parties propose a discovery cut-off date of September 2, 2019, such that all non-expert discovery shall be commenced in time to be completed by said date.

I. RELATED CASES OR PROCEEDINGS

None

J. RELIEF SOUGHT

1. Perry requests the following relief: Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief prohibiting Brown (a) from using Perry's name, likeness, and trademarks to promote Brown or Brown's music, and (b) from releasing or otherwise infringing Perry's copyright in his recorded vocal performances rendered in Brown's home in about 1991;

2. A declaration that Perry is the sole owner of all copyrights in and to the his vocal performances recorded in Brown's home;

3. Compensatory, general and punitive damages according to proof, with prejudgment interest;

4. Costs of suit incurred herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; and

5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

K. CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES

Plaintiff has submitted his Certificate of Interested Parties, and has no such interested parties.

1 **L. LAST DATE FOR COMPLETION OF DISCOVERY**

2 The parties propose November 4, 2019 as the last day to complete all non-expert
3 and expert discovery.

4 **M. TRIAL**

5 The case will be tried before a jury.

6 **N. SETTLEMENT EFFORTS**

7 The parties are presently engaging in settlement discussions. However, in the
8 event that they do not settle, the Parties agree to participate in a mediation before this
9 Court's ADR Panel.

10 **O. MANUAL FOR COMPLEX LITIGATION**

11 The Parties do not believe that any part or procedure from the Manual for
12 Complex Litigation should be utilized.

13 **P. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS**

14 Plaintiff Perry believes that a motion for summary judgment would be
15 dispositive. Defendant Brown believes that a motion to dismiss for lack of personal
16 jurisdiction, anti-SLAPP motion, and/or a Motion for Summary Judgment would be
17 dispositive.

18 **Q. UNUSUAL LEGAL ISSUES**

19 The parties currently do not believe there are any unusual legal issues.

20 **R. SEVERENCE AND/OR BIFURCATION**

21 The Parties do not have any proposals for the Court regarding severance,
22 bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

1 DATED: December 21, 2018

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ERIC CUSTER
2 JOHN M. GATTI
3 ERIC CUSTER

4 RIMON P.C.
5 MARK S. LEE

6
7 By: /s/ Mark S. Lee
8 Attorneys for *Plaintiff*
9 STEPHEN PERRY

10 BLAKELY LAW GROUP

11
12 By: /s/ Jessica C. Covington
13 Brent H. Blakely
14 Jessica C. Covington
15 Attorneys for *Defendant*
16 Phil Brown